

Three Questions for the AGAP Community Discussion Board:

(1) Constitutional Amendment. At the General Meeting in Montreal, you will be voting on an amendment to our constitution. This amendment makes clear that membership in AGAP is not automatic with an application. The current wording reads ambiguously, so clarity is required. It also brings our constitution up-to-date by correcting the C. G. Jung Institute's legal name and by including the International School of Analytical Psychology by name. For more information about these proposed changes to the constitution, you can read Page 6 of your copy of the Annual Newsletter 2010 which you received in the post in March, or you can read the newsletter posted on the AGAP website.

Are these changes in the proposed Constitutional Amendment understandable to you? What questions come to mind in this regard?

(2) Current situation CGJI-ISAP. As of today, a coming together of the C. G. Jung Institute Zurich, Küssnacht (CGJI) and the International School of Analytical Psychology (ISAP) cannot be discussed in the foreseeable future, because internal processes at the C. G. Jung Institute prevent the discussion of future plans with parties outside the Institute (you can find Mr. Daniel Baumann's report on Page 15 of our 2010 Annual Newsletter). AGAP President Deborah Egger has summarized the current state of affairs in her update (see Page 3 of the newsletter). The governmental set-up of the two institutions may still be too disparate to afford more than respectful cooperation: ISAP functioning under a democratic governance, whereas The C.G. Jung Institute Zurich, Küssnacht's statutes do not allow an election of the Curatorium by the faculty. ISAP's faculty is asking for affirmation as AGAP's own training.

What questions or comments do you have about this current state of affairs?

(3) On the value of your diploma. The AGAP Executive Committee receives an increasing number of inquiries from individuals who would like to become Regular Members of AGAP but whose diplomas depart from the full-time Zurich training that has been the traditional basis of application for membership. You can read more about this in the article "Reflecting on the Values and Standards of AGAP Membership" on Page 5 of your newsletter.

What does your membership in AGAP, as a professional association for Jungians, stand for? What aspects of your Zurich training have specific value for you, and do you want AGAP to continue to embrace this kind of training? Or should AGAP expand its notion of the making-of-an-analyst and open its membership to individuals whose training significantly departs from the traditional?